Table 8--Receipts of Producer Milk and Related Statistics, by Federal Milk Order Marketing Area, April 2000, with Comparisons 1/

Federal Milk Order Marketing Area	Order Number	Number of Producers		Receipts of Producer Milk			Average Daily	Component Test of Producer Milk				Somatic Cell
		Total	Change from Prev. Year	2000	1999	Change from Prev. Year	Delivery Per Producer	Butterfat	Nonfat Solids	Protein	Other Solids	Count
		Count		Million pounds		Percent	Pounds	Percent		Thousand		
Northeast	001	17,433	-595	2,091	2,105	-0.6	3,999	3.72	8.70	2.98	5.72	
Appalachian	005	4,182	664	566	414	36.5	4,509	3.64				
Southeast	007	4,934	942	671	492	36.5	4,536	3.53				
Florida	006	275	38	259	259	0.0	31,380	3.53				
Southeastern Region		9,391	1,644	1,496	1,165	28.4	5,310	3.57				
Mideast 2/3/	033	9,380	-709	1,105	1,091	1.3	3,926	3.73	8.74	3.04	5.70	343
Upper Midwest 2/ 3/	030	20,499	2,689	2,069	734	181.9	3,364	3.76	8.71	3.00	5.72	321
Central 2/ 3/	032	11,262	3,442	1,341	854	57.0	3,968	3.70	8.73	3.02	5.71	305
Southwest 2/	126	1,034	-456	742	661	12.3	23,927	3.58	8.75	3.05	5.70	306
Arizona-Las Vegas	131	122	23	289	257	12.4	78,903	3.54				
Southwestern Region		1,156	-433	1,031	918	12.3	29,729	3.57				
Western 2/	135	648	-272	392	180	117.5	20,162	3.61	8.95	3.05	5.90	
Pacific Northwest 2/	124	928	-45	586	510	15.0	21,063	3.64	8.72	3.01	5.71	
Mountain Region		1,576	-317	978	690	41.8	20,693	3.63	8.81	3.02	5.79	
All Markets Combined		70,697	5,721	10,111	7,556	33.8	4,767	3.68				

 ^{1/} Previous year figures are simply the summation of the data for the marketing areas that were consolidated to form the marketing area.
2/ Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in 1999 due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.
3/ Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in 2000 due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.